

Is Al Gore Afraid To Debate Lord Monckton?

Lord Monckton of Brenchley, member of the British House of Lords and leading opponent of the global warming hoax, has taken out ads in the *New York Times*, the *Wall Street Journal*, the *Washington Post*, and other media challenging Al Gore to debate. Monckton's first challenge to Gore was issued March 14, but remains unanswered.

Lord Monckton told EIR News Service that he is emphatic on pursuing this debate challenge. He described modern environmentalism as a "new national socialism and a messianic movement intent on destroying industry."

In November 2006, Monckton issued a 40-page research paper on the fraud of global warming, titled "Apocalypse Cancelled." The environmentalist's "precautionary principle" is killing people, he said, and he gave the example of the DDT ban, noting that this year, after 30 million people had died of malaria since the ban, the World Health Organization has finally agreed to bring back DDT for spraying on the inside walls of houses.

Lord Monckton also calls for the United Kingdom to start building—not merely designing, or holding a ten-year planning inquiry about—12 nuclear power stations.

Pasteur Institute Entomologist: 'Warming Won't Spread Malaria'

Paul Reiter, a medical entomologist who heads the Insects and Infectious Disease unit at the Pasteur Institute in Paris, says that scare stories about global warming spreading malaria are "complete bull."

Reiter, who was interviewed April 6 by Gregory Murphy, quit the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change calling its approach unscientific, but had to threaten a lawsuit to get his name taken off the IPCC reports. In his interview for *Executive Intelligence Review*, Reiter lambastes the IPCC process as "bullshit," and ridicules Al Gore's phony film depiction of the malaria scare.

The full interview can be read at: <http://www.larouchepub.com/eiw/public>



/2007/2007_10-19/2007-14/pdf/52_714_scienv.pdf

British TV Film Exposes Global Warming Lies

A made-for-television documentary, "The Great Global Warming Swindle," which aired March 8 on Britain's Channel 4, has poked a big hole in the global warmers' claim of a scientific "consensus" on the issue. The documentary will be available in July from the producers, wag-TV, in DVD format, at \$19.99 (see <http://www.wagtv.com> for more information.) An Internet version can be found on Google Video, where the documentary is already becoming an underground classic.

The lie that no reputable scientist opposes the global warming myth is overturned within about the first five minutes of viewing: The film features more than a dozen experts, in climatology, oceanography, meteorology, and biogeography from such institutions as NASA, the International Arctic Research Center, the Pasteur Institute, and MIT.

In the remaining 70 minutes, you will become engrossed in a penetrating and intellectually stimulating overturning of the entire CO₂ hypothesis. Carbon dioxide, whether man-made, or from the far more abundant natural sources, such as volcanoes and ocean absorption, does not determine climate. You will learn, instead, that it is the output of the Sun, varying over both short-term and long-term

cycles, which drives climate on the Earth.

You will also see a presentation by one of the Danish scientists who just established the exciting connection between cosmic rays and climate.

A surprise ending makes the clear case that environmentalism is genocide. In a visit to an African clinic, just a few miles outside the plush meeting halls of the United Nation's Nairobi conference on climate change, we see the real meaning of the solar power myth. In a building with only a solar panel to supply its electricity, health workers must decide whether to turn on the lights, or the tiny refrigerator used to store vaccines and medicines.

The documentary's closing words come from ecologist Dr. Patrick Moore, a co-founder of Greenpeace, who left that movement in disgust: "The environmental movement has evolved into the strongest force there is for preventing development in the developing countries.... I think it's legitimate for me to call them 'anti-human.'"

21st Century showed the film to Congressional staff in March.

Vatican Conference Challenges Global Warming Hysteria

The April 26-27 Vatican Climate Change Conference in Rome drew scientists and political figures from both sides of the issue, at the end of which the Vatican concluded that there is no evidence of man-induced climate change,

and that the urgent priority for humanity is the development of the Third World.

Cardinal Renato Martino, head of the Pontifical Council *Justitia et Pax*, the organizer of the event, chaired the meeting, focussing on the new paganism and on depopulation policies hidden behind climate hysteria. In his concluding speech at the conference, Cardinal Martino said:

“Man has an undisputable superiority within Creation and, in virtue of his being a person endowed with an immortal soul, cannot be equal to other living beings, nor considered a disturbing element to the naturalistic ecological balance....” The Social Doctrine of the Church,” Martino said, “must deal with many current forms of idolatry of nature, which lose sight of Man. Such ecologies often emerge in the debate on demographic issues and the relationship among population, environment, and development.”

“The Church,” Martino concluded, “is confident in Man and in his ever new capacity of finding solutions to problems posed to him by history. Such capacities allow him to often reject the ever recurring, gloomy and unprobable catastrophic predictions.”

In a reference to Al Gore’s role in promoting climate hysteria, Antonino Zichichi, chairman of the World Federation of Scientists, slammed the fact that “the [climate] discussion has not been conducted among scientists, but has been used in such a way that public opinion has the feeling that we are able to explain the climate of the past, the present and the future. Nothing is farther away from the truth.”

Lord Christopher Monckton, who has publicly challenged Al Gore to debate him on global warming, reported that Zichichi also attacked the use of computer models by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change to determine how the climate is going to change during the next century. It’s hard enough doing the computations for particle physics using a supercomputer (which has relatively only few factors), Zichichi said. Climate modelling requires thousands of factors to be integrated, an almost computational impossibility.

Vice Chair of IPCC Shatters Global Warming Consensus

The much-vaunted consensus over

global warming shattered like ice April 18, when the Russian vice chairman of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) penned an op-ed for *Ria Novosti*: “I think the panic over global warming is totally unjustified. There is no serious threat to the climate,” wrote Yuri Izrael of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

Academician Izrael is the head of the Institute of Global Climate and Ecology in Russia, and one of three vice-chairmen of the IPCC, the international body whose reports have claimed that human-induced global warming is a scientific certainty.

“There is no need to dramatize the anthropogenic impact, because the climate has always been subject to change under Nature’s influence, even when humanity did not even exist,” Izrael wrote. He does not deny that there are changes in climate going on, but writes that “we are more threatened by the cold than by global warming.”

If it becomes necessary to deal with warming, Izrael argues, controlling human use of CO₂ is not an effective means. “Instead, it makes sense to decrease solar radiation by 0.3 percent to 0.5 percent.” This can be most effectively done using stratosphere-based aerosols, and Russian scientists are now studying how to do this, Izrael says. Reducing CO₂ emissions will both take much too long, and be extremely expensive—about \$18 trillion this century. “The method of aerosol impact on the stratosphere is much cheaper, hundreds of times faster, and, if need be, can be easily stopped,” Izrael argues.

Argentine LYM Surprises Gore in Buenos Aires

Members of the LaRouche Youth Movement surprised Al Gore at the First Biofuels Congress of the Americas in Buenos Aires May 11, where he delivered the keynote address.

Gore did not endear himself to the audience, by showing satellite photos and slides to “prove” that population growth and economic development had “spoiled” nature in some South American countries, incredibly citing the case of impoverished Bolivia as an example.

After an hour of Gore’s speech, with no question period scheduled, LYM member Betiana Gonzalez called out from the

audience, “Al Gordo, Al Gordo,” and fired off several questions in rapid succession: “Why won’t you debate Lord Monckton?” “Why do you want to reduce population growth?” and “Why did you stop generic drugs for AIDS in Africa?”

Gore froze, visibly disconcerted, and then left the stage. When hotel security escorted her from the auditorium Gonzalez was surrounded by media and cameramen, who wanted to know what she had asked Gore.

The LaRouche Youth Movement has been surprising Gore across the United States and around the world. To read more about the LYM, see www.larouchepac.com.

British Meteorologist: Climate Models Are ‘Invalid’

Piers Corbyn, a British astrophysicist and meteorologist commented that climate models are invalid, and it’s “rubbish in equals rubbish out,” in a May 2 interview with Gregory Murphy for *Executive Intelligence Review*.

Corbyn said: “Computer modelling for weather forecasting, and indeed for climate forecasting has reached its limits. No amount of improved computer power will get past the really basic climate inputs.”

The London-based meteorologist has based his accurate weather forecasts on the magnetic cycles of the Sun.

“It’s not the case that carbon dioxide drives temperatures,” Corbyn said. “When you leave Ice Ages, it’s the other way around: The temperatures go up first, and then carbon dioxide levels go up. And if you look at the fluctuations during the Ice Ages, you can see that, actually, temperature goes up and down, about twice as fast, and twice as often, as carbon dioxide levels go up and down.”

Corbyn continued: “That means that at least about half the time, they’re going to be moving in opposite directions, and half the time, they’ll be moving in the same direction. I mean, essentially, that they are unconnected. They probably are connected in some complex way, but there’s no evidence anywhere that carbon dioxide systematically drives temperature. Where there is evidence of some sort of driving, it’s the other way around.”

To read the full interview, see http://www.larouchepub.com/other/interviews/2007/3422piers_corbyn.html

Arctic Researcher Calls Gore's Film 'Science Fiction'

Dr. Syun-Ichi Akasofu, who just retired as director of the International Arctic Research Center (IARC) of the University of Alaska, was interviewed by LaRouche Youth Movement member Ian Overton on April 23.

"My criticism of the IPCC's report," Akasofu said, is simply that I do not know how 2,500 scientists can agree that the present 100 years is almost entirely due to the greenhouse effect. There is no evidence for

that! There is no paper that studies the natural components of the retraction of the present ice. No paper! So they have no basis to say 'most'; it's an assumption!"

"[T]emperature has been increasing, from ... as far as we can go back, to about 1700. This has been happening well before the Industrial Revolution, so we have to consider that natural change," Akasofu stated.


The full interview appears in the May 11 *Executive Intelligence Review*, at http://www.larouchepub.com/other/interviews/2007/3419dr_akasofu.html.

IPCC Expert: Climate Models Are Just 'Computer Games'

Climate models are nothing more than computer games, an expert reviewer for the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change told *21st Century's* Greg Murphy. The problem with the climate computer models, the scientist said, is that they are based on a bottom-up approach as opposed to a top-down approach.

"Think of a cat stalking a bird," the climate expert said. "You see the cat tense, and creep towards the bird. Now picture only the bird's nervous system, and with that information, try to model the cat stalking. That is the equivalent of a global climate model."

The expert also commented on how information-age thinking has negatively affected science writing. He noted that when he was in school you were taught to use a slide rule, and in using a slide rule you had to have an idea of what the result



would be. Nowadays, people just grab an algorithm off the shelf and use it, without any thought about what kind of result they will get. He pointed to the trend in articles in leading peer-reviewed journals such as *Science* and *Nature*, which use numerical values out to several decimal places, most of which are wrong.

The scientist concluded by asking the following question:

In the past two and-a-half billion years of the Earth's oxygen atmosphere, there have been only two climate states—one glacial and the other interglacial. In that time, the Sun's output has increased by 30 percent, yet the temperature has increased and decreased only a small amount over the period. So how can a mere 0.4 percent of man-made CO₂ now be catastrophic?

Think about that the next time you hear Al Gore talk about global warming, or you read the next big climate change scare story, he said.

Hedge Fund Manager Gore Profits from Carbon Caps

Al Gore and David Blood, cochairmen of the London-based hedge fund Generation Investment Management, gave their "Blood and Gore" view of the world, in an interview that appeared in *The McKinsey Quarterly* for May 2007.

The Blood and Gore hedge fund's main business is carbon management and trading, referring to schemes based on coercing governments to impose caps on CO₂ and other so-called greenhouse gas emissions from industry and agriculture, and then creating a specula-

tive market in emissions allowances.

When asked how he balances his "value" of "sustainability investments" and the profit motive for his hedge fund, Gore was unequivocal: "Our objective in innovation with this new model was to focus on the best return for our clients, full stop."

"The context of business is clearly changing," Blood said, in answer to a question of what "principles govern the approach" of their hedge fund. "We are now confronting the limits of our ecological system, and at the same time societal


expectations are widening. On top of that, multinational businesses are often-times better positioned than governments to deal with some of the most complicated global challenges, such as climate change, HIV/AIDS, water scarcity, and poverty."

Gore singled out the slave-labor company Wal-Mart for praise, for its "commitment to 'green' their supply chain."

NASA/SOHO CD Shows There Is No Global Warming Consensus

An educational CD-Rom, available on a NASA website, shows that contrary to claims of the climate mafia, the Sun is the primary driver of climate, and scientists do not agree that CO₂ is the cause of global warming. The CD can be downloaded or ordered at http://sohowww.nasacom.nasa.gov/freestuff/cd_info.html#getcopies

The section of the CD on the subject of "Sun and Climate," shows convincingly how variations in the Sun's irradiance, solar wind, the Sunspot cycle, and Earth's orbital variations affect Earth's climate. "The Sun is naturally the primary driver and energy source for atmospheric and ocean systems and the Earth's surface climate," the NASA CD reports.



Proof of global warming